

SCHEME OF MANUEVER**	Outline by phase OR narrative: Starts/Ends, FOOM, Direction of travel, Weapons control status, Triggers, PLs, DFCM, PACE, Fires and UAS (if applicable)	ATP 3-21.8 page A-30/A-31	CANDIDATE ORIENTS THE ELEMENT TO THE TERRAIN MODEL AND IS ABLE TO ARTICULATE DIRECTIONS OF TRAVEL, FOOM, WEAPONS CONTROL/ORIENTATION/STATUS AT EACH POINT, PHASE LINES (IF APPLICABLE), PACE PLAN BY PHASE, AND IS ABLE TO INCORPORATE A LOGICAL FIRES PLAN INTO THE SCHEME.	CANDIDATE ORIENTS THE ELEMENT TO THE TERRAIN MODEL AND BRIEFS MOST ELEMENTS OF THE SCHEME OF MANUEVER. CANDIDATE DOES NOT INTEGRATE FIRES OR UAS INTO THEIR SCHEME.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS INACCURATE OR IMPLAUSIBLE FOOM, DIRECTION OF TRAVEL, AND DIRECT FIRE CONTROL MEASURES. CANDIDATE FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE AN UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR PLAN AND CANNOT EFFECTIVELY COMMUNICATE TO THEIR ELEMENT.
TASK TO SUBORDINATE UNITS	Assigns correct task and purpose. ME/SE, special teams	FM 6-0 page	DEFINES TASK, PURPOSE AND TIMELINE THROUGHOUT PLANNING AND BRIEFING. CANDIDATE ARTICULATES ANY CHANGES TO T/P THROUGHOUT THE TIMELINE OF THE MISSION. CANDIDATE LOGICALLY ASSIGNS SPECIAL TEAMS (PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE) BASED ON PROJECTED LOCATION OF THE ELEMENT AT EACH POINT DURING THE MISSION.	CANDIDATE ASSIGNS A TASK AND PURPOSE TO EACH ELEMENT AND DESIGNATES SPECIAL TEAMS ARBITRARILY, BUT DOES NOT CONDUCT ANALYSIS IN TERMS OF "WHY" EACH ELEMENT IS ASSIGNED TO A SPECIAL TEAM AT A PARTICULAR TIME.	OMITS SPECIAL TEAMS, AND DOES NOT CLEARLY DEFINE A TASK AND PURPOSE FOR EACH ELEMENT WITHIN THE SQUAD.
COORDINATING INSTRUCTIONS	Dictates specific RXLs, accurate timelines, Rules of Engagement	ATP 3-21.8 pg. 6-16-18	CANDIDATE BRIEFS COMMANDER'S CRITICAL INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS (COCR) INCLUDING PRIORITY INTELLIGENCE REQUIREMENTS (PIR) AND FRIENDLY FORCES INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS (FFIR). CANDIDATE BRIEFS ESSENTIAL ELEMENTS OF FRIENDLY INFORMATION (EEFI), AND RULES OF ENGAGEMENT (ROE). CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO DICTATE REHERSALS THAT ARE APPLICABLE TO THE MISSION.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS MOST COIR AND EEFI CRITERIA, BUT DOES NOT SPECIFY LOGICAL REHERSALS BASED ON TIME AVAILABLE AND SPECIFIED MISSION.	CANDIDATE FAILS TO BRIEF MOST COIR AND EEFI CRITERIA, OMITS THE ROE AND/OR DOES NOT DICTATE LOGICAL REHERSALS.
SUSTAINMENT					
CLASS I	CL I on hand	ATP 3-21.8 E-37 thru E-39 & page 7-9 thru 7-11	CANDIDATE BRIEFS EXACT QUANTITY AND TYPE OF EACH ITEM. FOR EXAMPLE, CANDIDATE BRIEFS 100 RDS 5.56 PER SOLDIER, AND 300 RDS 5.56 LKD PER TEAM. CANDIDATE PROJECTS RESUPPLY TIMELINE AND METHOD OF RESUPPLY BASED ON OE. CANDIDATE SHOULD BE ABLE TO THE SUPPLY AMOUNTS WITH THE SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE OF THE LAINE.	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO ARTICULATE EACH CLASS OF SUPPLY, BUT DOESN'T PROJECT A RESUPPLY PLAN OR UNDERSTAND HOW THE SUSTAINMENT PARAGRAPH IS NESTED WITH THE MISSION OF THE LAINE	CANDIDATE OMITS KEY CLASSES OF SUPPLY AND/OR BRIEFS INACCURATE INFORMATION, FOR EXAMPLE BRIEFING AMMUNITION REQUIREMENTS IN CLASS II
CLASS V	Briefs quantity of CL V, by type, on				
CLASS VIII	Briefs CL VII on hand and medical equipment				
CASEVAC PLAN	Briefs CASEVAC plan and CCP locations by phase		CANDIDATE BRIEFS CCPs BY PHASE, AND CCPs ARE LOGICAL BASED ON THE ENVIRONMENT AND MISSION. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO PROVIDE EXACT GRID AND METHODS OF EVACUATION FOR EACH CCP. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO EXPLAIN CASUALTY PROCEDURES, AND CAN TIE CASEVAC PLAN TO THE ENEMY SITUATION AND TERRAIN.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS CCP LOCATION BUT DOES NOT IDENTIFY PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE LOCATIONS FOR EACH PHASE/PORTION OF THE OPERATION. CANDIDATE SELECTS CCP LOCATIONS WITH MINIMAL ANALYSIS OF TERRAIN AND ENEMY SITUATION.	CANDIDATE EITHER OMITS CCP AND CASEVAC PLAN ALTOGETHER, OR SELECTS A SITE WITH NO ANALYSIS OF ENEMY SITUATION OR TERRAIN. SITE SELECTION/PLAN IS MORE OF A HINDRANCE/DANGER TO THE SQUAD THAN AN ENABLER.
COMMAND AND SIGNAL					
LOCATION OF LEADERS	PL, PSG & SL position during the mission	ATP 3-21.8 page 6-7	LOCATION OF KEY LEADERS MATCHES SCHEME OF MANUEVER AND LOCATION OF ALL LEADERS' LEVELS UP IS SPECIFIED. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO DESCRIBE LEADER LOCATION FOR EACH PHASE/PORTION OF THE OPERATION.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS LOCATION OF LEADERS DIRECTLY FROM PLATOON OPORD BUT DOES NOT SPECIFY LOCATIONS BY PHASE	CANDIDATE BRIEFS INCORRECT LOCATIONS OR OMITS LOCATIONS ALTOGETHER.
SUCCESSION OF COMMAND	Briefs a logical succession of command	TC 3-25.26	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO LOGICALLY THINK THROUGH SUCCESSION PLAN BY PHASE. PLAN IS LOGICAL.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS PLAN DIRECTLY FROM PLATOON ORDER, DOES NOT INDICATE A DIFFERENCE OR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT BY PHASE.	SUCCESSION OF COMMAND IS INACCURATE, ILLOGICAL, OR OMITTED.
PACE FOR COMMUNICATION	Briefs a logical PACE	ATP 3-21.8 page 6-7 & 6-8	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO CONDUCT ANALYSIS AND BRIEF A PACE PLAN BASED ON PHASES OF THE OPERATION, INCLUDING ANALYSIS OF TERRAIN AND ENEMY SITUATION.	CANDIDATE'S PLAN INCLUDES ALL ELEMENTS OF PACE BUT DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE ANALYSIS BASED ON PHASES OF THE OPERATION OR ENEMY SITUATION AND TERRAIN.	PACE PLAN INCLUDES ITEMS/METHODS THAT ARE ILLOGICAL, OR UNFEASIBLE. PLAN IS NOT TIED TO THE OE AND IS NOT LOGICAL, OR OMITTED.
CHALLENGE PASSWORD/NUMBER COMBO	Briefs both	ATP 3-21.8 page 6-7 & 6-8		INFORMATION IS BRIEFED FROM PLATOON ORDER VERBATIM.	INFORMATION IS INCORRECT OR OMITTED.
ADDITIONAL					
CONFIRMATION BRIEFS	Executes proper confirmation briefs with Tls	ATP 3-21.8 2-35	CANDIDATE ASKS QUESTIONS TO ENSURE SQUAD IS SYNCED AT THE POINTS OF GREATEST FRICTION. CANDIDATE ENSURES THERE IS A SHARED UNDERSTANDING WITHOUT REGUGITATION OF NOTES.	CANDIDATE CONDUCTS CONFIRMATION BRIEF BUT DOES NOT FOCUS ON POINTS OF GREATEST FRICTION. CANDIDATE ASKS QUESTIONS REQUIRING VERBATIM ANSWERS AND DOES NOT DO ANYTHING TO FURTHER A SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF THE MISSION.	CANDIDATE HAS TO BE PROMOTED TO CONDUCT THE BRIEF, OR OMITS IT COMPLETELY
CONFIDENCE	Briefs in a manner that demonstrates doctrinal knowledge, ensures shared understanding, and inspires confidence		CANDIDATE BRIEFS PLAN WITHOUT HAVING TO REFERENCE NOTES FREQUENTLY. CANDIDATE SPEAKS CONFIDENTLY AND DEMONSTRATES A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR PLAN. CANDIDATE DOES NOT HAVE TO REPEAT THEMSELVES MULTIPLE TIMES. CANDIDATE'S COMMAND PRESENCE IS CLEAR.	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO GET THROUGH THE BRIEF SUCCESSFULLY, BUT SHOWS ROOM FOR IMPROVEMENT IN COMMAND PRESENCE AND SPEAKING ABILITY. CANDIDATE RELIES ON NOTES AND SHELLS FREQUENTLY BUT DEMONSTRATES AN UNDERSTANDING OF THE PLAN AS A WHOLE.	CANDIDATE IS UNABLE TO GET THROUGH THE BRIEFING WITHOUT REPEATING THEMSELVES, READING NOTES VERBATIM, AND/OR STUMBLING THROUGH MAJOR PORTIONS OF THE ORDER. CANDIDATE DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE A COMMAND PRESENCE AND HAS TO BE FREQUENTLY PROMPTED BY PEERS TO INCLUDE INFORMATION.
EXECUTION					
TASK	GRADER NOTES	REFERENCE			
EXECUTE RXLs	ROC DRILL /BATTLE DRILL RXL	ATP 3-21.8 page A-36	CANDIDATE DICTATES A REHERSAL THAT IS RELEVANT TO THE POINTS OF GREATEST FRICTION DURING THE MISSION. REHERSALS ARE IN LINE WITH THE MISSION OBJECTIVE, AND INCLUDE SUCCESSION OF COMMAND VIGNETTES. REHERSAL IS GENERALLY LED BY SUBORDINATES.	REHERSALS ARE CONDUCTED BUT DO NOT INCLUDE A LOGICAL ANALYSIS OF THE MISSION OBJECTIVE. REHERSALS ARE RUSHED IN ORDER TO "CHECK THE BOX" AND ONLY MINIMALLY FURTHER A SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF THE MISSION. REHERSAL IS GENERALLY LED BY SQUAD LEADER.	REHERSALS ARE EITHER OMITTED COMPLETELY OR PROVIDE NO VALUE TO THE SQUAD'S UNDERSTANDING OF THE MISSION.
PCC/PCI	Squad leader ensures PCC/PCI are complete prior to starting mission	ATP 3-21.8 page 2-37-39		PRE COMBAT CHECKS ARE COMPLETED. CASUALTY PRODUCING WEAPONS ARE INSPECTED AND FUNCTIONAL PRIOR TO SP.	PRE COMBAT CHECKS ARE NOT COMPLETED
REMAINS ORIENTED ON THE OBJ**	Cadre may re-orient an OC one time. No-Go if OC remains disoriented	ATP 3-21.8 CH 3		CANDIDATE DOES NOT REQUIRE CADRE RE-ORIENTATION, OR ONLY REQUIRES RE-ORIENTATION ONCE.	CANDIDATE REQUIRES RE-ORIENTATION TWICE TO GET TO ORP AND/OR OBJECTIVE.
CONTROLS MVMET	Uses appropriate formation and movement techniques. Remains oriented on the OBJ	ATP 3-21.8 CH 3	CANDIDATE CONTROLS MOVEMENT OF THE SQUAD FOR THE DURATION OF THE MISSION. CANDIDATE IS REGULARLY ASSESSING SITUATION AND SELECTING MOVEMENT TECHNIQUES THROUGHOUT THE MISSION. SPACING IS CONTROLLED AND SQUAD DOES NOT CONTINUALLY "BUNCH UP". CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES CONTINUAL AWARENESS OF SQUAD'S MOVEMENT AND DISPOSITION.	CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES UNDERSTANDING OF MOVEMENT TECHNIQUES BUT DOES NOT ACCOUNT FOR TERRAIN AND ENEMY SITUATION. CANDIDATE IS GENERALLY OF THE SQUAD'S DISPOSITION BUT OCCASIONALLY GETS "TUNNEL VISION". SQUAD BUNCHES UP NUMEROUS TIMES AND TEAM LEADERS FAIL TO TAKE INITIATIVE TO CORRECT SITUATION.	CANDIDATE DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE COMMAND AND CONTROL OF THE ELEMENT. CANDIDATE DOES NOT CORRECT OBVIOUS DEFICIENCIES, AND RELIES HEAVILY ON THE TEAM LEADERS TO MAKE DECISIONS AND DICTATE MOVEMENT. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES NO SITUATIONAL AWARENESS AND IS UNAWARE OF SQUAD'S DISPOSITION.
CONDUCTS RECON OF OBJ	Pinpoints OBJ without compromising the formation	ATP 3-21.8 Pages 7-7 to 7-8	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO CONDUCT RECON OF THE OBJECTIVE WITHOUT COMPROMISING THEIR ELEMENT. CANDIDATE CLEARLY IDENTIFIES OBJECTIVE, USING PROPER IMT AND RECON METHODS. CANDIDATE CONFIRMS LOCATIONS FOR SUPPORT BY FIRE AND ASSAULT ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES A CLEAR ANALYSIS OF THE ENEMY AND TERRAIN. CANDIDATE ENSURES WEAPON SYSTEMS AND EQUIPMENT ARE DIVIDED LOGICALLY BETWEEN THE ELEMENTS.	CANDIDATE CONDUCTS RECON, AND MOSTLY CONFIRMS ASSUMPTIONS REGARDING SBFI AND ASSAULT POSITIONS. IF CANDIDATE IS COMPROMISED, GOTWA PROCEDURES ARE FOLLOWED. MINIMAL ANALYSIS OF TERRAIN AND ENEMY SITUATION IS IMPLEMENTED.	CANDIDATE EITHER DOES NOT CONDUCT A RECON, OR CONDUCTS A RECON OF THE INCORRECT LOCATION. IF/WHEN COMPROMISED, GOTWA PROCEDURES ARE NOT FOLLOWED. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES LITTLE TO NO ANALYSIS OF THE TERRAIN AND ENEMY SITUATION AND IS UNABLE TO CONFIRM LOCATIONS FOR SBFI AND ASSAULT ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES NO UNDERSTANDING OF THE FUNDAMENTALS OF RECON TECHNIQUES.
REFINE THE PLAN	Implements recon information to confirm or deny the current plan		CANDIDATE TAKES INTO ACCOUNT ALL INFORMATION GATHERED DURING THE RECON AND ADJUSTS THE PLAN (IF NEEDED). CANDIDATE USES RECON INFORMATION TO EXPLOIT TERRAIN TO THE MAXIMUM AMOUNT POSSIBLE. CANDIDATE ENSURES THE SQUAD RECEIVES A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THE INFORMATION GATHERED ON THE RECON.	CANDIDATE TAKES INFORMATION FROM RECON AND REFINES THE PLAN TO AN EXTENT, BUT DOES NOT MAXIMIZE TERRAIN/ENEMY SITUATION TO THEIR ADVANTAGE. INFORMATION RELAYED TO THE SQUAD DOES NOT COMPLETELY CONVEY THE SITUATION ON THE OBJECTIVE.	CANDIDATE MAKES NO ATTEMPT TO ADJUST THE PLAN OR CONVEY INFORMATION TO THE SQUAD; INFORMATION GATHERED DURING RECON HAS NO VALUE.
CONTINGENCY PLAN (GOTWA)	Leaves a logical 5-point contingency plan when separate from the main body		CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES A LOGICAL UNDERSTANDING OF TIME REQUIRED TO CONDUCT RECON/LEAVE THEIR ELEMENT. GOTWA INCLUDES ALL ELEMENTS AND IS LOGICAL BASED ON SITUATION. CANDIDATE LEAVES AN ACCURATE GOTWA EACH TIME THEY LEAVE AN ELEMENT BEHIND. CANDIDATE SETS CLEAR EXPECTATIONS FOR ACTIONS DURING CONTACT FOR BOTH ELEMENTS.	GOTWA IS ISSUED AND COVERS MOST ELEMENTS ACCURATELY BUT DOES NOT INCLUDE A LOGICAL ANALYSIS OF TIME NEEDED TO CONDUCT THE RECON.	CANDIDATE DOES NOT ISSUE A GOTWA EACH TIME ELEMENTS ARE SEPARATED; OR CANDIDATE DICTATES INFORMATION THAT COULD LEAD TO FRATRACIDE.
SECURITY	Ensures security at all times when moving, halted, and on the OBJ. Can be delegate to the Tls with SL spot checks	ATP 3-21.8 CH 3-9 to 3-40	CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES AWARENESS OF SECURITY POSTURE AND CHANGES TRAVELING TECHNIQUES TO ENSURE SECURITY IS POSTURED FOR CURRENT ENVIRONMENT. CANDIDATE ENSURES 360 SECURITY IS SET PRIOR TO COMMUNICATING WITH TLS AND ISSUING ORDERS. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES THAT SECURITY IS AT THE FOREFRONT OF THEIR MIND AT ALL TIMES, AND DELEGATES SPECIFIC TASK/PURPOSE TO EACH ELEMENT. APPLIES KNOWLEDGE OF ENEMY AND PREVIOUS MISSIONS TO DEVELOP SECURITY POSTURE. AUTOMATIC WEAPONS ARE PLACED IN THE APPROPRIATE POSITION AND CONSTANTLY RE-EVALUATED.	CANDIDATE MOSTLY ENSURES SECURITY POSTURE IS MAINTAINED, AND DEMONSTRATES LIMITED CRITICAL THINKING IN REGARDS TO SECURITY POSTURE.	CANDIDATE FAILS TO ESTABLISH 360 SECURITY AT HALTS AND DOES NOT TAKE SECURITY INTO CONSIDERATION WHILE MOVING. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES NO CRITICAL THINKING, AND BLATANTLY DISREGARDS SECURITY POSTURE THROUGHOUT THE MISSION.
TACTICAL MOVEMENT	Ensures SOD moves tactically at the low ready and high ready, when appropriate. The SOD uses cover and concealment and proper IMT techniques. SL enforces noise and light discipline.	ATP 3-21.8 CH 3	CANDIDATE ENSURES SPACING, COMMUNICATION AND WEAPON-READY STATUSES ARE APPROPRIATE BASED ON THE SITUATION. CANDIDATE ENFORCES NOISE/LIGHT DISCIPLINE AT ALL TIMES AND IS ABLE TO AVOID OPEN DANGER AREAS AND LINEAR DANGERS IF POSSIBLE. CANDIDATE ENSURES TO THE BEST OF THEIR ABILITY THAT THE SQUAD IS UTILIZING COVER AND CONCEALMENT AT ALL TIMES. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO DICTATE SIZE/LENGTH OF THE FORMATION BASED ON TERRAIN AND PRIOR ANALYSIS.	CANDIDATE MOSTLY ENSURES THE SQUAD MOVES TACTICALLY AND IS ABLE TO ADHERE TO MOST OF THE PRINCIPLES OF PATROLLING. CANDIDATE EXHIBITS SOME CRITICAL THINKING IN TERMS OF LDA/ODA CROSSING AND SELECTION.	CANDIDATE DOES NOT ENFORCE NOISE AND LIGHT DISCIPLINE, FAILS TO ENSURE SQUAD MEMBERS ARE UTILIZING COVER AND CONCEALMENT, POORLY EXECUTES ODA/LDA CROSSING. CANDIDATE DOES NOT ALTER FORMATION BASED ON TERRAIN OR ENEMY SITUATION.