

ENCLOSURE					
TASK	GRADER NOTES	REFERENCE	E Criteria/Example	S Criteria/Example	N Criteria/Example
WARNO					
WARNO	Location & Type of Operation	ATP 3-21.8	CANDIDATE GIVES GENERAL LOCATION OF ATTACK, INITIAL TASK ORGAIZAION, INITIAL TIMELINE, RECON, MOVEMENT, AND MANUEVER AND PLANNING INSTRUCTIONS ARE GIVEN	CANDIDATE DISCUSSES LESS THAN ALL OF THE CRITERIA BUT 4 OR MORE ELEMENTS AND COMMUNICATES EFFECTIVELY TO THEIR SQUAD	CANDIDATE ONLY COMMUNICATES 3 OR LESS ELEMENTS OF THE WARNO
	Task Team Leaders				
	Initial Timeline (Mission Complete, ORP, SP time, RXLs, OPORD brief, TL tasks) complete)				
TERRAIN MODEL					
TERRAIN MODEL	Depicts Concept of the Operation, Uses it effectively to build shared understanding	ATP 3-21.8 page A-10	CANDIDATE UTILIZES A MICRO AND MACRO TERRAIN MODEL INCLUDING PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE ROUTES, CASUALTY COLLECTION POINTS, AMBULANCE EXCHANGE POINTS, HLZ (IF APPLICABLE), NORTH SEEKING ARROW, LEGEND, FRIENDLY/ENEMY UNITS. VEGETATION AND ELEVATION MATCH TERRAIN. OBSTACLES ARE INCLUDED (IF APPLICABLE), ORP, AND THE OBJECTIVE IS CLEARLY IDENTIFIABLE. LOA AND FIRE CONTROL MEASURES ARE DEPICTED. CANDIDATE EITHER INCLUDES A LEGEND OR ARTICULATES THE MEANING OF EACH ITEM ON THE MODEL. MICRO TERRAIN MODEL INCLUDES A DETAILED DEPICTION OF ACTIONS ON OBJECTIVE (LOCATION OF SUPPORT BY FIRE, ASSAULTING ELEMENTS, LOA, ENEMY LOCATION AND DFCM). CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES A CLEAR AND IN-DEPTH UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR TERRAIN MODEL.	CANDIDATE INCLUDES MOST ELEMENTS REQUIRED OF A TERRAIN MODEL. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES SOME UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR MODEL BUT WAS NOT HEAVILY INVOLVED IN THE PLANNING OR CONSTRUCTION OF IT. CANDIDATE DID NOT ESTABLISH A CLEAR STANDARD FOR THE MODEL. CANDIDATE DEPICTS A MACRO/MICRO MODEL, BUT IS MISSING SOME ELEMENTS REQUIRED IN ORDER TO CREATE A SHARED UNDERSTANDING. VEGETATION AND ELEVATION ARE EITHER MISSING OR INACCURATE.	CANDIDATE PRESENTS A BARE-BONES MODEL WITH LITTLE TO NO REQUIRED ELEMENTS. TERRAIN MODEL ADDS NOTHING TO THE SHARED UNDERSTANDING OF THE SQUAD AND DOES NOT ACCURATELY DEPICT THE SHEME OF MANUEVER AS STATED. TERRAIN MODEL IS INEFFECTIVE.
SITUATION					
AREA OF OPERATIONS (AO)	OBT, Current position, OBJ location	ATP 3-21.8	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO BRIEF AREA OF OPERATIONS AND ARTICULATE BOUNDARIES ACCURATELY. CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES UNDERSTANDING OF ELEMENT BOUNDARIES AND USES TERRAIN MODEL AS A BRIEFING AID	CANDIDATE ARTICULATES AREA OF OPERATIONS AND INCLUDES MOST ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE FAILS TO USE TERRAIN MODEL AS AN AID.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS INCORRECT BOUNDARIES AND/OR OMITTS THE AREA OF OPERATIONS.
AREA OF INTEREST (AI)	That area of concern to the commander, including the area of influence, areas adjacent to it, and extending into enemy territory	JP 3-0	CANDIDATE BRIEFS AREA OF INTEREST AND INCLUDES AREA OCCUPIED BY ENEMY RESERVE OR FIRES ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE USES THE TERRAIN MODEL AS A BRIEFING AID	CANDIDATE BRIEFS AREA OF INTEREST AND INCLUDES AREA OCCUPIED BY ENEMY RESERVE OR FIRES ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE DOES NOT USE THE TERRAIN MODEL AS A BRIEFING AID	CANDIDATE OMITTS THE AREA OF INTEREST AND/OR BRIEFS INACCURATE INFORMATION
ATTACHMENTS/DETACHMENTS	Habitual attachments normally include FO and/or medic	ATP 3-21.8 1-18		CANDIDATE INDICATES THE PRESENE OF AN ATTACHMENT	CANDIDATE FAILS TO BRIEF THE PRESENCE OF AN ATTACHMENT
TERRAIN	OAKOC (Enemy and Friendly)	ATP 3-21.8 A-10-A15	CANDIDATE BRIEFS ALL ELEMENTS OF OAKOC AND DISCUSSES EFFECT ON ENEMY AND FRIENDLY FOR EACH ONE. CANDIDATE USES ALL TOOLS AVAILABLE FOR ANALYSIS (AERIAL RECON, MAP ETC).	CANDIDATE BRIEFS MOST ELEMENTS OF OAKOC BUT DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY DISCUSS EFFECT ON ENEMY AND FRIENDLY FOR EACH ONE	CANDIDATE OMITTS MULTIPLE AREAS OF OAKOC AND DOES NOT DISCUSS EFFECT ON ENEMY AND FRIENDLY
WEATHER	IAW FRAGO	ATP 3-21.8 A-17	CANDIDATE BRIEF WIND, TEMP, VISIBILITY, PRECIPITATION, CLOUD COVER AND HUMIDITY, AND DISCUSSES EFFECT ON ENEMY FRIENDLY FORCES FOR EACH ONE.	CANDIDATE BRIEFS MOST OF THE WEATHER ASPECTS BUT DOES NOT EFFECTIVELY DISCUSS EFFECT ON ENEMY AND FRIENDLY FORCES	CANDIDATE OMITTS MULTIPLE AREAS OF WEATHER ANALYSIS AND DOES NOT DISCUSS EFFECT ON ENEMY AND FRIENDLY FORCES
ENEMY FORCES	Identifies type of forces, equipment, reserve, and triggers for Fires	ATP 3-21.8 A-18	CANDIDATE DISCUSSES THE ENEMY COMPOSITION, DISPOSITION, STRENGTH AND CAPABILITIES. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO ARTICULATE THE EFFECTIVE RANGES OF WEAPONS, LOCATION ON THE BATTLEFIELD, METHODS OF MOVEMENTS AND MANUEVER, AND TYPES/SIZES OF ENEMY FORCES. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO ARTICULATE THE LIKELY FORMS OF CONTACT AND RECENT ACTIVITY.	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO ARTICULATE THE ESTIMATED SIZE AND LOCATION OF THE ENEMY BUT DOES NOT DISCUSS TYPES OF EQUIPMENT, FORMS OF CONTACT, OR RECENT ACTIVITY.	CANDIDATE IS UNABLE TO ARTICULATE THE ENEMY COMPOSITION, MISUSES TERMS AND IS UNABLE TO PROVIDE ANY DETAILS OF THE ENEMY SITUATION
	Identifies location, position, and current fighting posture	ATP 3-21.8 A-18			
	Qualitative assessment of the enemy's composition. How much do they have?	ATP 3-21.8 A-18-A19			
	Brief the ENY's capabilities, including max effective ranges for each weapons system they bring to the fight	ATP 3-21.8 A-19			
	Identifies the ENY's recent activities and intentions	ATP 3-21.8 A-19			
MLCOA	Briefs a Feasible, Acceptable, Suitable, Distinguishable and Complete COA distilled from PLT Order	ATP 3-21.8 A-17-A18	CANDIDATE ARTICULATES THE MLCOA FROM HIGHER OPORD AND IS ABLE TO TIE THE COA INTO THE TERRAIN MODEL. CANDIDATE RELATES ENEMY CAPABILITIES TO THE COA. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO IDENTIFY "TRIGGER" CRITERIA IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY MLCOA, AND IDENTIFY CORRESPONDING FRIENDLY ACTION.	CANDIDATE IS ABLE BRIEF MLCOA FROM HIGHER OPORD BUT DOES NOT BRIEF OFF THE TERRAIN MODEL AND IS UNABLE TO ARTICUALTE TRIGGERS AND CORRESPONDING FRIENDLY RESPONSES	CANDIDATE FAILS TO BRIEF MLCOA OR FAILS TO UNDERSATND THE CONCEPT OF MLCOA
MDCOA	Briefs a Feasible, Acceptable, Suitable, Distinguishable and Complete COA distilled from PLT Order different from MLCOA	ATP 3-21.8 A-17-A18	CANDIDATE ARTICULATES THE MDCOA FROM HIGHER OPORD AND IS ABLE TO TIE THE COA INTO THE TERRAIN MODEL. CANDIDATE RELATES ENEMY CAPABILITIES TO THE COA. CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO IDENTIFY "TRIGGER" CRITERIA IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY MDCOA, AND IDENTIFY CORRESPONDING FRIENDLY ACTION.	CANDIDATE IS ABLE BRIEF MDCOA FROM HIGHER OPORD BUT DOES NOT BRIEF OFF THE TERRAIN MODEL AND IS UNABLE TO ARTICUALTE TRIGGERS AND CORRESPONDING FRIENDLY RESPONSES	CANDIDATE FAILS TO BRIEF MDCOA OR FAILS TO UNDERSATND THE CONCEPT OF MDCOA
HIGH HQ	1 & 2 LVLs UP	ATP 3-21.8 page A-8	CANDIDATE BRIEFS COMMANDERS INTENT AND IS ABLE TO UNDERSATND HOW THEIR OPERATION IS NESTED WITHIN THE LARGER PICTURE	CANDIDATE BRIEFS INTENT DIRECTLY FROM HIGHER HQ ORDER BUT DOES NOT UNDERSTAND HOW THEIR OPERATION IS NESTED WITHIN THE LARGER PICTURE	CANDIDATE BRIEFS INCORRECT LEVEL, OR FAILS TO BRIEF INTENT ACCURATELY
MISSION					
MISSION STATEMENT	5Ws Format, Correct tactical task, repeats the Mission Statement	FM 6-0 page C-12		CANDIDATE STATES MISSION STATEMENT TWICE ACCURATELY	CANDIDATE FAILS TO STATE MISSION STATEMENT VERBATIM AND/OR DOES NOT REPEAT IT ACCURATELY
EXECUTION					
COMMANDER'S INTENT	A clear and concise expression of the purpose of an operation and the desired objectives and military end state	JP- 3-0	CANDIDATE DEMONSTRATES A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE HIGHER INTENT NESTS INTO THEIR SPECIFIC ELEMENT'S MISSION. THEIR ELEMENT'S INTENT IS LOGICAL AND CORRECTLY INTEGRATES WITH ADJACENT AND HIGHER ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE INCORPORATES TERRAIN MODEL AND ADJACENT UNITS.	CANDIDATE STATES HIGHER HQ INTENT BUT DOES NOT ARTICULATE RELATIONSHIP TO THEIR ELEMENT'S MISSION.	INTENT IS OMITTED OR INACCURATE
CONCEPT OF THE OPERATION**	The purpose of the operation is... We will achieve this by... Decisive to this operation is... It is decisive because... We will mitigate risk by... End State: Friendly, ENY, Terrain, CIV	ATP 3.21.8 page A-29	CANDIDATE CLEARLY STATES COURSE OF ACTION AND IS ABLE TO IDENTIFY DECISIVE POINTS, RISK MITIGATION, AND MEANS OF ACCOMPLISHMENT. CANDIDATE DISCUSSES THE END STATE OF THE FRIENDLY, ENEMY, CIVILIAN, AND TERRAIN. CANDIDATE ARTICULATES KEY TASKS.	CANDIDATE IS ABLE TO IDENTIFY DECISIVE POINT BUT DOES NOT ARTICULATE "WHY". CANDIDATE DISCUSSES END STATES FOR MOST ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE DISCUSSES MOST ELEMENTS OF THE COA STATEMENT.	COA STATEMET* IS EITHER OMITTED, INACCURATE OR MISSING MAJOR ELEMENTS. CANDIDATE DOES NOT ARTICULATE THE "WHY"
	Continual narrative OR phased format utilized	FM 6-0 page C-13			